mented the recommendations of the above said Beport after taking their own employees/Trade Unions into confidence and those which have, implemented the said recommendations unilaterally, with the reaction of the employees Trade Unions, in each such Undertaking, if any;

(c) the details issues/area of service conditions and benefits on which the Mishra Committee recommended to take the employees concerned/Trade Unions into confidence and then implemented in the above said undertakings?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE. MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI BHAGSY GOBARDHAN)- (c) The High Power Pay Committee had submitted its report after hearing the views of the Un>ons|em. jlozees' Associations of the Public Sector Enterprises wh: ch were following Central DA pattern. The repon has been accepted by the Government and orders for its implementation were issued on 1?,. 6. 1990 in line with the directions given by the Hon'ble Suoreme Court in their Judgement dated 3. 5. 1990. If any anomalies are noticed in fitment of the . exting p°ale in the general pattern o! scales now notified, these could be revie""M *a* Committee set up by the PiiKHc factor Enterprises concerned and the formulation processed after takire nnnroval of the administrative Ministries.

Bfirtrictiiras on activities of Fmnloyees of Public Sector Fnclertaktaps

3146 SHRT GURUDAS DAS GUP^A- Will the PRIME MINISTER be nleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that BPE had issued an Order in July, 1984 for putting restrictions on the activities of employees of Publ'c Sector Undertakings, if; o the detail thereof, along with the names and addresses of the Public Sector Undertakings as classified for thg purpose-

(b) whether it is also a fact that I similar instructions were issued by the BPE in February 1888 for putting restrictions on the activities of all other public sector employees, if so, the details thereof; and

| (c) whether in view of the changed political scenario whether Govern-
! ment would withdraw the said controversial instructions Since the employees of these public sector undertakings are not treated as Government employees and are covered bv different Industrial Laws/Acts, Certified Standing Orders and Discipline Rules of their own?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN ! THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI BHAGEY GOBARDHAN): (a) to *(c)* In July. 1984, the Bureau of Public Enterprises has advised certain Ministries that some kind of political activities of the employees of certain Public Sector Undertakings under their administrative control could be prohibited by amending the CDA Rules and Certified Standing Orders of these companies. In 1988. theso instruct-'ons were made applicable to officers of all public sector undertakings. There is no proposal at present, for withdrawing these instructions

Criteria for Apiwinthur Coaches in Kendriya Vidyalayi S^ngathan

3147. SHRI SARADA MOHANTY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what is the criteria prescribed for the appointment of the Coaches in Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan, for SGFI meets and for conducting the Summer Coaching in sports: and

(b) whether Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan is following th$_e$ prescribed criteria?